

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

34

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/087,348	(03/01/2002	Richard T. Ruebusch	TEP0193-01	3071	
832	7590	02/26/2004		EXAMINER		
BAKER &			KENNY, STEPHEN			
111 E. WAYNE STREET SUITE 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
FORT WAY	NE, IN	46802	3726	9		
				DATE MAILED: 02/26/2004	. 1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	*	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
اس	•			y
	Office Action Summary	10/087,348	RUEBUSCH ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	ı
	T. MAN INO DATE (1):	Stephen J Kenny	3726	
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication after Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence addres	:s
TH - E ai - If - If - F	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP E MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION xtensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of the SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio ailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuty reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commusions BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	January 2004.		
,-	,	nis action is non-final.		
3)[<u> </u>		ers, prosecution as to the me	rits is
,-	closed in accordance with the practice under	·		
Dispos	sition of Claims			
4)[☑ Claim(s) <u>2-14 and 16-26</u> is/are pending in the	e application.		
,-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr			
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	☑ Claim(s) <u>2-14, 16-26</u> is/are rejected.			
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.		
Applic	ation Papers			
9)[☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)[☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1	.121(d).
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Sta	ge
Attachn	nent(s)			
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Iformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0		s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)
	aper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		•

Art Unit: 3726

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

Prosecution on the merits of this application is reopened on claims 2-14, 16-26 considered unpatentable for the reasons indicated below. The After Final Amendment filed 1/26/04 will be entered into the case.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-14, 16-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Konig (US Patent No 4991282) in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Regarding claims 2-9, 16-20, 26 Konig discloses a method of manufacturing a implement comprising: selecting a desired module configuration and connecting a selected engine, transmission, and steering mechanism together to form a subassembly to provide a base or "front frame" (column 1, lines 14-19) of the implement; transporting the base to a second facility (column 2, lines 4-8); providing a working device at the second facility and connecting the working device to the base of the implement at the second facility (column 1, line 19 & column 5, lines 22-25 wherein body 25 can be considered a "working device"). In regards to claim 26, the second facility (location of body 25) is remote from the first facility (where the chassis & components are assembled) as implied by the moving of said chassis to the location of body 25.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,348

Art Unit: 3726

Konig does not explicitly disclose providing a plurality of types of engines, transmissions, & steering mechanisms, and work device assemblies.

The examiner takes official notice that this is a practice well known and established in the manufacturing of implements & vehicles. For example, it is routine practice for automotive manufacturers to provide both a 4-cylinder as well as a 6-cylinder engine for the same automobile model; likewise it is common to provide a standard as well as an automatic transmission for the same model. It is widely known that this practice enables automotive manufacturers to better meet an ever-changing consumer demand.

Furthermore, Applicant's Admitted Prior Art discloses implements with various: shaft (i.e. horizontal & vertical) orientations (paragraph 0007, line 2); transaxles (i.e. hydrostatic, manual shift, & friction drive) (paragraph 0039, lines 1 & 11); steering mechanisms (paragraph 0050, lines 5-6); and various work device assemblies (paragraph 0050, line 7). Therefore it is merely a matter of engineering design choice to select any particular shaft, transaxle, steering mechanism, & work device since applicant has stated that process would perform equally well with any combination of parts (paragraph 0050, lines 5-6).

Regarding claims 10, & 21, Konig discloses providing wheels and attaching the wheels to the transmission at the first manufacturing facility (column 1, line 17).

Regarding claims 11, 13, 14, 22, 24, & 25, Konig discloses providing a drive linkage or "drive unit" and attaching the drive linkage to the base of the implement and selected parts at the first manufacturing facility (column 1, line 16); and providing a drive linkage with the work

Application/Control Number: 10/087,348

Art Unit: 3726

piece (column 4, lines 57-60); and connecting said drive linkage to the working device and the base implement (column 4, lines 33-60).

Regarding claims 12, & 23, the examiner takes official notice that it is common practice to manufacture vehicles and provide a form of packaging (often in marine cargo containers) and transporting the vehicle to a wholesaler, retailer, or customer. This is routine procedure for the manufacturers of foreign automobiles that are sold in the United States.

Conclusion

The previously indicated allowable subject matter has been reconsidered and determined to lack patentable novelty. The applicant's invention is directed towards a modular method of manufacturing an implement at separate & remote facilities, not the specific components (e.g. engine & transmission, etc.) that the implement is comprised of. This concept of a modular method of manufacturing at separate & remote facilities is disclosed by Konig as discussed above. Therefore the limitations of a particular configuration of components (i.e. engine, transaxle, clutch, and blade assembly) are not considered to be novel, especially since applicant states that any type of component can be employed in this method (paragraph 50, lines 5-6).

The prior art made of record in the previously mailed PTO-892, and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J Kenny whose telephone number is 703-306-0359. The examiner can normally be reached on mon - fri 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Vo can be reached on 703-308-1789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sk 5k 2/24/04

PETER VO

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700